

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/605,799	06/28/2000	Oleg B. Rashkovskiy	INTL-0413-US (P8908)	6037
75	90 05/04/2004	7	EXAMINER	
Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman, LLP			CHIEU, PO LIN	
1279 Oakmead Sunnyvale, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
 ,,			2615	3
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/04/2002	ب

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
:	09/605,799	RASHKOVSKIY ET AL.	
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Polin Chieu	2615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication			
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rition. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thire period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	l		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a	llowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits i	is
closed in accordance with the practice un	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	ithdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · - ·	(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents of the priority documents of the certified copies of the application from the International E	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been	application No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	, , ,	received.	
2222 22332 23.234 335 4331 101	and the second second second flow		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 8-13, and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Alexander et al (6,177,931).

Regarding claims 1, 8, and 15, Alexander et al discloses providing a graphical user interface (GUI) indicating a season premiere episode of a season series of a television program (figs. 10A and 10B); and in response to the selection of the episode through the interface (fig 10 A and 10B), automatically recording the season series (col. 21, lines 39-54).

Regarding claims 2, 9, and 16, Alexander et al discloses providing an electronic program guide (EPG, fig. 1).

Regarding claims 3, 10, and 18, Alexander et al discloses providing a GUI displaying a plurality of upcoming season premiere episodes (figs. 10A and 10B).

Regarding claims 4, 11, and 19, Alexander et al discloses enabling the user to select the episode to automatically time to all of the programs in the season series (col. 21, lines 39-54).

Art Unit: 2615

Regarding claims 5 and 12, Alexander et al discloses storing information about the season series in a database (col. 18, lines 1-12).

Regarding claims 6, 13, and 20, Alexander et al discloses acquiring information about the season series over the internet (col. 8, lines 1-12).

Regarding claim 17, Alexander et al discloses an interface coupled to the processor for wireless communications (col. 4, lines20-45), the system further including a remote control unit that communicates with the interface (col. 3, lines 21-35).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alexander et al in view of Naimpally (6,020,880).

Regarding claims 7 and 14, Alexander et al does not disclose automatically acquiring a schedule for the season series over the internet.

Naimpally teaches acquiring program data over the internet. Alexander et al discloses automatically tuning or recording a season series, as discussed previously. To perform the automatic tuning or recording the scheduling data of the series is needed.

It would have been highly desirable to have scheduling data acquired over the Art Unit: 2615 internet so that the automatic tuning or recording function could identify future times that another program in the series is to be recorded or watched.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the season series information acquired over the internet in the device of Alexander et al.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lawler et al, Wood et al, and Okura et al disclose EPGs. 5.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Polin Chieu whose telephone number is (703) 308-6070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8:00 AM-6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew B. Christensen can be reached on (703) 308-9644. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Art Unit: 2615

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

PC April 24, 2004

